Case 2.11-ev-00202-bsi -Asvv bocai	THEIR I FRIED 01/29/11 Fage 1010 Fage 10 #.2
	(COP
Ryan Lee, Esq. (SBN 235879)	
Krohn & Moss, Ltd.	SY CE
10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 401 Los Angeles, CA 90025	
T: (323) 988-2400; F: (866) 802-0021 rlee@consumerlawcenter.com	JUL 29
rlee@consumerlawcenter.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, MICHAEL MERCADO	
UNITED STATE CENTRAL DIST	ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA ERN DIVISION
MICHAEL MERCADO,) Case No.: V 1 1 - 0 6 2 6 2 DSF (A)
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
v.	JURY TRIAL
ABSOLUTE COLLECTION	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
SERVICES,	
Defendant.	
	D COMPLAINT
MICHAEL MERCADO (Plaint	tiff), by attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD.,
alleges the following against A	ABSOLUTE COLLECTION SERVICES
(Defendant):	
INTRO	ODUCTION
1. Count I of Plaintiff's Compla	aint is based on the Fair Debt Collection
Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et	
	omplaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt
Concenon reactices Act, Cal. C.	Civ. Code §1788 et seq. (RFDCPA).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 4. Defendant conducts business in the state of California, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Whittier, Los Angeles County, California.
- 7. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3) and Defendant is attempting to collect a debt by communicating with Plaintiff as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(h).
- 8. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6) and Cal. Civ. Code §1788.2(c), and sought to collect a consumer debt by contacting Plaintiff.
- 9. Defendant is a national company located in Raleigh, North Carolina and conducts business in California.
- 10.At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents employees, officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers in the District of California.

1

2 3

4

5

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11.In June 2011, Defendant called Plaintiff trying to collect an alleged debt.
- 12. Defendant called Plaintiff, his employer as well as various family members.
- 13.Defendant threatened to garnish Plaintiff's wages by 25% if he did not pay Defendant.
- 14.Defendant gave Plaintiff a deadline of June 31, 2011 on this garnishment threat.

COUNT I

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 15.Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of which the natural result is the abuse and harassment of the Plaintiff.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by threatening to garnish Plaintiff's wages.
 - c. Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take action that cannot legally be taken or that Defendant does not intent, to wit: garnishment by June 31, 2011.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MICHAEL MERCADO respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, ABSOLUTE COLLECTION SERVICES, for the following:

- 16.Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 17. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
- 18. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

COUNT II DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 19.Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all of the allegations in Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint as the allegations in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 20.Defendant violated the RFDCPA based on the following:

7.

a. Defendant violated the §1788.17 of the RFDCPA by continuously failing to comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. to wit: Section 1692e(4) and Section 1692e(5).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MICHAEL MERCADO, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, ABSOLUTE COLLECTION SERVICES, for the following:

- 21. Statutory damages pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788.30(b),
- 22. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ Code § 1788.30(c), and
- 23. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, Michael Mercado, demands a jury

trial in this cause of action.

.20

DATED: July 26, 2011

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KRÒHN & MOSS, LTD.

By:

Ryan Lee Attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaintiff, Michael Mercado, states as follows:

1. I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.

2. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.

3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension.

modification or reversal of existing law.

4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint.

5. I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set

forth in it.

6. Each and every exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been

attached to this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original.

7. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate, I have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, Michael Mercado, hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATE: 6-22-11

Michael Mexcado